

## **REMARKS**

### **A. Amendments Consistent With Examiner's Suggestions**

In this Amendment and Response After Final Rejection, Applicants have adopted the Examiner's helpful suggestions in the last Office Action for addressing the remaining issues in this application.

First, a new proposed Replacement Sheet 8/10 is submitted with this Response. In the new Sheet 8/10, the "floating" CH<sub>3</sub> group has been deleted from the chemical formula for mTADATA consistent with para. 3 of the Office Action.

Second, the Specification has been amended to address each of the issues raised in para. 4 of the Office Action.

Third, the Abstract (based on the Abstract submitted in the Amendment and Response filed January 25, 2011) and Claims 49 and 55 have been amended to correct the subject chemical formulas by deleting the "R<sub>2</sub>" substituent group to make these formulas consistent with the original disclosure. This amendment addresses para. 5 and parts of para. 6 and para. 7 of the Office Action.

Fourth, Claim 40 has been canceled. This amendment addresses other portions of para. 6 of the Office Action and a part of para. 7.

Fifth, Claim 55 has also been amended to correct the chemical formula for the ketone reactant as suggested by the Examiner in para. 7 of the Office Action.

Sixth, the miscellaneous matters noted in para. 8 of the Office Action have also been attended to in this Response.

Application Serial No. 10/589,183  
Amendment and Response After Final Rejection

PATENT  
Attorney Docket No.: LUC-018

**B. Allowable Subject Matter**

In para. 9 of the Office Action, the Examiner noted that Claims 30, 31, 34 and 38-55 would be allowable if amended to overcome the several formality objections. There are no outstanding prior art rejections.

Applicants respectfully submit that each of the Examiner's objections has been addressed by this Response.


The Examiner is respectfully invited to call Applicants' attorney David Silverstein to discuss any remaining questions about this Amendment and Response or any additional changes that might be deemed necessary to place this application in condition for allowance.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

For all of the foregoing reasons, this Amendment and Response After Final Rejection should be entered. Following entry of this Amendment and Response, Claims 30, 31, 34, 38, 39 and 41-55 should be deemed to be in condition for allowance and an early notice thereof is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 8, 2011  
Andover-IP-Law  
44 Park Street, Suite 300  
Andover, MA 01810  
Telephone: (978) 470-0990  
Facsimile: (978) 470-0993

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Silverstein  
Registration Number 26,336  
Attorney for Applicants